1. The question paper comprises two parts, Part I and Part II.
2. Part I comprises Case Scenario based Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs)
3. Part II comprises questions which require descriptive type answers.

**PART I – Case Scenario based MCQs (30 Marks)**

**Case Scenario 1**

ACC Private Limited was incorporated in July 2001. Its shares are listed on BSE and NSE. It is registered with an authorised share capital of ₹ 20 crore divided into 2 crore equity shares of ₹ 10/- each. The paid-up share capital of the company is ₹ 10 crore divided into 1 crore equity shares of ₹ 10/- each.

The Board of Directors of the company in their meeting held on 11th August, 2023 declared interim dividend. The Annual General Meeting of the company was held on 1st September, 2023. The company had incurred losses in the previous financial year as well as in the current financial year upto the period ended June 30, 2023. In the previous five financial years, the company had declared the dividend as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Year Ended</th>
<th>Dividend declared per share (₹)</th>
<th>Dividend declared rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 2023</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 2022</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 2021</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 2020</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 31, 2019</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company has deposited the amount of dividend declared in a separate account with ABC Bank on August 14, 2023. Out of the total dividend declared, ₹ 60,000 payable to few equity shareholders remains unclaimed even after the expiry of statutory period within which dividend was required to be paid and had been transferred to a separate bank account Unpaid Dividend Account on 20th September 2023. The company prepares a statement containing the names of shareholders, their last known address and the unpaid dividend amount to such each shareholder and place on its website.
Meanwhile, the company obtained a term loan of ₹ 15 crore from Laxmi Bank Limited on August 20, 2023, securing it with a charge on the company’s assets, including its own buildings (in India and Germany) and intangible assets (trademark right over the company’s logo). According to the Companies Act, 2013, the company was required to register this charge with the Registrar within a specified timeframe. However, the company failed to complete the registration process within the prescribed timeline.

The Board of Directors has requested their Company Secretary to confirm whether they are required to incur expenditure towards Corporate Social Responsibility during the financial year 2023-2024 and is required to constitute CSR committee.

The financial particulars in respect of immediately preceding financial year are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (₹ in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Net worth</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Turnover</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Net Profit</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Borrowings</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the basis of above facts and by applying applicable provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and the applicable Rules therein, choose the correct answer (one out of four) of the following Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs 1-5) given herein under:

1. The company can create charge in favour of the lender on the assets which are:
   
   (a) Tangible Assets and situated in India only
   (b) Intangible Assets and situated in India only
   (c) Assets that are tangible or otherwise and situated in India or Germany
   (d) Assets that are tangible or otherwise and situated in India only

2. The maximum rate at which interim dividend can be declared by the Board during the current financial year is as under:

   (a) The board cannot declare the interim dividend at a rate higher than the average dividend declared by the company immediately during preceding two financial years, i.e. 5%.
   (b) The board cannot declare the interim dividend at a rate higher than the average dividend declared by the company immediately during preceding three financial years, i.e. 7%.
   (c) The board cannot declare the interim dividend at a rate higher than the average dividend declared by the company immediately during preceding four financial years, i.e. 8.5%.
   (d) The board cannot declare the interim dividend at a rate higher than the average dividend declared by the company immediately during preceding five financial years, i.e. 9.2%.
3. In respect of dividend declared which of the Statement is not correct?
   (a) The company has transferred the dividend amount to separate bank account within 5 days from the date of declaration of dividend.
   (b) The company is required to pay dividend within 30 days from the date of declaration of dividend.
   (c) The company is required to transfer the Unpaid dividend to a separate bank account within 10 days from the date of expiry of statutory period from the date of declaration of dividend.
   (d) The company is required to prepare a statement containing the names of shareholders, their last known address and the unpaid dividend amount to such each shareholder and place on its website within 90 days from the date of transferring the amount to Unpaid Dividend Account.

4. Choose the correct option in terms that whether the provisions of Corporate Social Responsibility are applicable to ACC Private Limited.
   (a) The provisions of Corporate Social Responsibility are not applicable to ACC Private Limited as it is a private limited company.
   (b) Yes, as ACC Private Limited is having turnover of more than ₹ 1000 crore.
   (c) Yes, as ACC Private Limited is having net profit of more than ₹ 2.5 crore in the immediately preceding financial year.
   (d) Yes, as ACC Private Limited is having net worth of more than ₹ 50 crore in the immediately preceding financial year.

5. The notice for the Annual General Meeting should be served by:
   (a) 6th August 2023
   (b) 7th August 2023
   (c) 8th August 2023
   (d) 10th August 2023

Case Scenario 2

Sudeep and Ankit are very fast friend since long. They decided to run a service unit which will provide “Financial and Investment Consultancy Services”. For this purpose they formed a limited liability partnership under the name M/s Etharkkum Advisors LLP on 17th April 2020. For this purpose, they prepared a Limited Liability Partnership Deed of which one of the clauses provides that a new partner may be admitted in the LLP with capital contribution which may be in kind or cash. Further new partner is also required to deposit the agreed amount of capital contribution within six months from the date of his admission.

After some time, office of the firm was destroyed due to an earthquake and the LLP was in urgent need of an office premises and some funds for some renovation work.

It is also informed that M/s Etharkkum Advisors LLP approached Manoj on 1st January 2023 to join the firm as third partner. Manoj was out of India for the period from 1st September 2021 to 23rd December 2022. He agreed to join the LLP
and also agreed to contribute his office premises at Sanjay Place, Palwal and funds of ₹ 5,00,000 as Capital Contribution in the firm. Manoj joined the firm on 25\(^{th}\) January 2023 as limited liability partner. The above said office premises was purchased by Manoj five years ago for ₹ 25,00,000 but the fair market value of this office on 25\(^{th}\) January 2023 was ₹ 32,25,000 and on 1\(^{st}\) January 2023 was ₹ 30,00,000. Manoj has provided his office to the firm with effect from his admission and promised to deposit the agreed amount of ₹ 5,00,000 within six months as provided in the partnership deed. Before Manoj could deposit the amount with the firm, it was dissolved. Manoj denied to deposit the amount of ₹ 5,00,000 with the contention that he is liable only upto the amount contributed in the firm on the date of dissolution. A creditor of the firm sued Manoj to deposit the said amount so that the firm may pay off his liability.

On the basis of above facts and by applying applicable provisions of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 and the applicable Rules therein, choose the correct answer (one out of four) of the following Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs 6-9) given herein under:

6. Whether Manoj could be considered as resident or not as per the Limited Liability Act, 2008?
   (a) Manoj could not be considered resident in India as he was not in India for 182 days in preceding one year
   (b) Manoj could not be considered resident in India as he was not in India for 120 days in preceding one year i.e. only for 33 days from 24\(^{th}\) December 2022 to 25\(^{th}\) January 2023
   (c) Manoj could not be considered as he was not in India for 182 days during the financial year
   (d) Manoj will be considered as resident in India as he was in India for 120 days during the financial year (2021- 2022)

7. What would be the worth of Capital Contribution by Manoj?
   (a) ₹ 25,00,000
   (b) ₹ 32,25,000
   (c) ₹ 37,25,000
   (d) ₹ 35,00,000

8. Whether Manoj will be liable to contribute ₹ 5,00,000 after dissolution of the firm?
   (a) Yes, because a partner is personally liable for the deficiency arising at the time of dissolution of LLP.
   (b) No, because a partner is never personally liable for the deficiency arose at the time of dissolution of LLP.
   (c) Yes, the partner is under obligation to contribute money also to LLP as per the agreement.
   (d) No, because a partner is personally liable only upto the amount contributed to the LLP on the date of dissolution of LLP.
9. Finload Limited wants to raise funds for its upcoming project. Accordingly, it has issued private placement offer letters for issuing equity shares to 57 persons, of which six are qualified institutional buyers and remaining are individuals.

Choose the correct statement as per the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013:

(a) Finload Limited company is a public limited company hence it can not issue shares through private placement.

(b) Since, Finload Limited has made an offer or invitation to more than the prescribed number of persons, it shall be deemed to be an offer to the public and accordingly, it shall be governed by the provisions relating to prospectus.

(c) Finload Limited has made an offer or invitation to less than the prescribed number of persons as qualified institutional buyers are not counted to calculate the prescribed limit.

(d) Finload Limited cannot issue shares to qualified institutional buyers, as under private placement shares cannot be issued to qualified institutional buyers.

10. Company X, a leading automobile manufacturer, has invested in Company Y, a start-up specializing in electric vehicle technology. Company X holds a 25% stake in Company Y and actively participates in its strategic decisions. Based on the provisions of the Companies Act 2013 regarding associate companies, which of the following statements is correct?

(a) Company X’s investment in Company Y does not qualify as an associate company because Company X does not have control of at least 50% of the total voting power.

(b) Company Y qualifies as an associate company of Company X since Company X holds a 25% stake in Company Y and actively participates in its strategic decisions.

(c) Company Y cannot be considered an associate company of Company X because it is a start-up and does not meet the minimum criteria for significant influence.

(d) Company X’s investment in Company Y falls under the category of joint venture and does not qualify as an associate company according to the Companies Act 2013.

Case Scenario 3

M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP was registered on 2nd July 2019. Sudeep and Ankit were partners in the firm. Both Sudeep and Ankit were also the designated partners in this firm. The LLP deals in manufacturing and trading of electric ceiling fans. One day Sudeep met with Mr. Kishore, a director of Krtiken Electronics Private Limited. After discussion, Mr. Kishore showed interest that Krtiken Electronics Private Limited may work with M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP as partner.
Krtiken Electronics Private Limited was incorporated on 1st June 2017 with the object to deal in electronics. The memorandum and articles of association of Krtiken Electronics Private Limited also authorised it to work as partner in a LLP.

The partners of M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP and directors of Krtiken Electronics Private Limited approached a professional consultant Mrs. Archika Jain for providing the procedure for adding Krtiken Electronics Private Limited as a partner in M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP. She advised that Krtiken Electronics Private Limited could not be the partner in M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP because as per Limited Liability Partnership Act 2008, an individual or a body corporate can be a partner in LLP. She informed that the term ‘body corporate’ was defined in the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 as a company which is defined in section 3 of the Companies Act, 1956. As Krtiken Electronics Private Limited is registered under Companies Act 2013, it cannot be termed as body corporate. On the advice of Mrs. Archika Jain, M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP dropped the idea to add Krtiken Electronics Private Limited.

It is further informed that Ms. Shanaya was admitted as a new partner in the firm on 17th January 2024. The firm intimated the registrar about her admission on 31st January 2024. On 3rd February 2024, while going to office Ms. Shanaya met with an accident and lost her memory. The doctor declared her of unsound mind to work as partner in M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP. It was also confirmed by a competent court.

On the basis of above facts and by applying applicable provisions of the General Clauses Act, 1897 therein, choose the correct answer (one out of four) of the following MCQs (11-13) given herein under:

11. Whether Krtiken Electronics Private Limited could be partner in M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP?

(a) No, as Krtiken Electronics Private Limited is not a body corporate as per the definition of “Body Corporate” given in Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008.

(b) Yes, because section 8 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 provides where any Act or Regulation made after the commencement of this Act, repeals and re-enacts, with or without modification, any provision of a former enactment, then references in any other enactment or in any instrument to the provision so repealed shall, unless a different intention appears, be construed as references to the provision so re-enacted. Therefore, after the enactment of Companies Act, 2013, the definition of “Body Corporate” should be construed as a company which is defined in section 2(20) of the Companies Act, 2013.

(c) No, as provisions of section 8 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 will not be applicable because the Limited Liability Partnership (Amendment) Act, 2021, which amended the definition of “Body Corporate” considering the company registered under Companies Act, 2013, come to effect from 01.04.2022.

(d) Yes, as the provisions of the General Clauses Act, 1897 are not applicable while interpreting the provisions of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008.
12. Following the provisions of Limited Liability Act, 2008 read with the General Clauses Act, 1897, what should be the last date to inform the registrar about the admission of Ms. Shanaya.

(a) 15th February 2024  
(b) 16th February 2024  
(c) 17th February 2024  
(d) 18th February 2024

13. What would be the status of Ms. Shanaya in the firm, M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP after the accident?

(a) She would continue as a partner in M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP even after being declared as of unsound mind.  
(b) Section 24(2) of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 provides that a person shall cease to be a partner of a LLP if he is declared to be of unsound mind by a competent court. As this sub-section provides only for male person (“he”), she would continue as a partner in M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP.  
(c) Following the provisions of the General Clauses Act, 1897 which provides that in all legislations and regulations, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context words importing the masculine gender shall be taken to include females. Hence, Ms. Shanaya will cease to be a partner M/s Aryan & Aryan LLP.  
(d) She can continue as partner if all other partners agree for that.

14. HBL Private Limited is a project engineering, procurement and construction company. The company has bagged a contract from the Government of State of Tamil Nadu for construction of Water Dam. The company has involved a project consultancy firm situated in Netherlands for preparing techno-economic feasibility report to enable it to start construction work of dam. The company had paid USD 7,000,000 to vendor of Netherlands.

The company also availed the services of Software Company situated in UK for the migration of its accounting software from SAP to Oracle for which the Company had paid USD 2,000,000 to the software company.

Considering the provisions of Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999, which of the below mentioned statement is correct:

(a) The company can make payment of USD 7,000,000 and USD 2,000,000 without any approval.  
(b) The company can make payment of USD 7,000,000 without any approval and USD 2,000,000 after obtaining prior approval of the Reserve Bank of India (RBI).  
(c) The company can make payment of USD 7,000,000 and USD 2,000,000 after obtaining prior approval of RBI.  
(d) The company can make payment of USD 7,000,000 after obtaining prior approval of RBI and USD 2,000,000 without any approval.
15. Ms. Shalini Gupta had enrolled her for management course of three years with IIM, Ahmedabad. Out of three years, two years of educational course would be provided at the campus of IIM, Ahmedabad and one year of educational course would be provided at University of Auckland under student exchange program. Ms. Shalini Gupta is required to pay tuition fee of ₹10 lakh directly to IIM, Ahmedabad for two years course and USD 200,000 to University of Auckland.

Ms. Shalini had left India on 20th August 2022 to complete her degree from University of Auckland. In the last month of final year of the course, she got an offer from one of the reputed company situated in Auckland and had accepted the offer and she decided to work there. On 1st September 2023, Ms. Shalini had visited India for 30 days to meet her family and on 1st October 2023 had left India to carry on her employment.

Considering the provisions of Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999, which of the below mentioned options correctly determined the residential status of Ms. Shalini Gupta:

(a) Ms. Shalini Gupta to be treated as resident in India for Financial Year (FY) 2023-2024 and FY 2024-2025.
(b) Ms. Shalini Gupta to be treated as resident in India for FY 2022-2023 and FY 2023-2024.
(c) Ms. Shalini Gupta to be treated as non-resident for FY 2023-2024 and FY 2024-2025 as she left India for higher studies.
(d) Ms. Shalini Gupta to be treated as resident in India for FY 2023-2024 since she stays in India for more than 182 days and non-resident for FY 2024-2025.

PART – II Descriptive Questions (70 Marks)

Question No.1 is compulsory.

Attempt any Four questions out of the remaining Five questions.

1. (a) Cross Limited is a company incorporated under the erstwhile the Companies Act, 1956 while XYZ Private Limited is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013. XYZ Private Limited has issued ₹ 1,00,000 convertible preference shares (carrying right to vote) of ₹ 100 each and 10,00,000 equity shares of ₹ 10 each fully paid. Cross Limited is holding all the preference share and 1,00,000 equity shares of XYZ Private Limited. Examine whether:

(i) The provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 are applicable on Cross Limited?
(ii) XYZ Private Limited is a public company as per the Companies Act, 2013? (5 Marks)

(b) HelpIndia Limited was incorporated on 1st April 2022. The balances extracted from its audited financial statement are as given below:
HelpIndia Limited is considering allocating the minimum required amount for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities to be undertaken during the financial year 2024-25, provided it is mandatory to do so. They seek advice on this matter.

Furthermore, HelpIndia Limited requests assistance in calculating the minimum amount to be allocated, if necessary, considering the relevant provisions outlined in the Companies Act, 2013.

(c) Mr. Rohan Sharma, an international cricket player has started its cricket academy, namely, Rohan Sharma Cricket Academy, a private coaching club, which provides coaching for cricket. The Academy has a cricket team which participates in cricket matches all over India as well as outside India.

Rohan Sharma Cricket Academy in a collaboration with Melbourne Cricket Academy is organizing a cricket event in Melbourne, Australia in the month of May 2024 and June 2024. Rohan Sharma Academy is required to remit USD 200,000 to Melbourne Cricket academy as a part of its share for organizing the cricket event in Melbourne. Advise whether it can get Foreign Exchange and if so, under what conditions?

2. (a) Explain the following as per the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013:
   (i) Abridged Form of Annual Return
   (ii) Signing of Annual Return

   (b) APR Limited, a company renowned for manufacturing various types of mats, has established a strong brand presence and garnered a commendable reputation over the years. As of March 31, 2023, its Balance Sheet reflects the following financial position:

   1. Authorized Share Capital (25,00,000 equity shares of ₹ 10/- each) ₹ 2,50,00,000
   2. Issued, subscribed and paid-up Share Capital (10,00,000 equity shares of ₹ 10/- each, fully paid-up) ₹ 1,00,00,000
   3. Free Reserves ₹ 3,00,00,000

   The Board of Directors intends to propose a bonus issue wherein existing shareholders would receive 1 additional share for every 2 shares held. The Board wants to know the conditions and the manner of issuing bonus shares under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

   (5 Marks)
(c) Explain the following with reference to the provisions of the General Clauses Act, 1897:
(i) Movable Property
(ii) Oath  

3. (a) Explain the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013- who can get a licence to operate as a section 8 company (non profit organization)? 

(b) Wood Limited has received ₹ 4,00,000 as a non-interest bearing security deposit under a contract of employment, from its employee Mr. Cotton. Mr. Cotton draws an annual salary of ₹ 3,85,000.
Analyse under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, whether the said amount received by Wood Limited will be considered as deposits or not. 

(c) Explain interpretation of statute aid- ‘Read the Statute as a Whole’. 

4. (a) Crystal Limited recently received a communication from the Central Government requesting the preparation of periodical financial results along with the completion of either a full audit or a limited review of these financial results. The Board of Directors, however, has raised an objection, arguing that Crystal Limited, being an unlisted company, are not obligated to prepare periodical financial results.
Analyze the situation, citing relevant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, with respect to the company's obligation regarding the preparation of periodical financial results. 

(b) Mr. Prateek (an individual) has started a Limited Liability Partnership firm along with Brown Limited and Picture Limited. As per the provisions of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008, advise Limited Liability Partnership firm, about who can be the designated partners of the firm. 

(c) In what way is ‘Heading and Title of a Chapter’ considered as internal aid in the interpretation of statutes. 

5. (a) Enumerate the circumstances in which a Limited Liability Partnership may be wound up by the Tribunal. Give your answer in respect of the provisions of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008. 

(b) Kesar Limited, an unlisted company furnishes the following data:
(a) Paid-up share capital as on 31st March 2024 ₹ 49 Crore.
(b) Turnover for the year ended 31st March 2024 ₹ 100 Crore
(c) Outstanding loan from bank as on 3rd March 2024 is ₹ 102 crore (₹ 105 Crore loan obtained from bank) and the outstanding balance as on 31st March 2024 ₹ 95 crore after repayment.
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Considering the above scenario and in accordance with the provisions outlined in the Companies Act, 2013, determine whether Kesar Limited is required to appoint an Internal Auditor during the financial year 2024-2025.  

(c) Sheesha Limited is a company engaged in the business of manufacturing premium quality furniture in the state of Tamil Nadu. In light of the provisions outlined in the General Clauses Act, 1897, and the Companies Act, 2013, please advise on the specific timelines regarding the payment of dividends subsequent to its declaration at the Annual General Meeting (AGM) held on 8th August 2023.

6. (a) Explain the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, in respect of ‘Inspection of Register of Charges and Instrument of Charges’.

(b) What are the documents that must be annexed to a prospectus offering for subscription in securities of a company incorporated or to be incorporated outside India, as per the Companies (Registration of Foreign Companies) Rules, 2014?

(c) University of Oxford is one of the leading institutes of UK. In the month of May 2024, they are planning a cultural event in UK. The University has invited Ms. Kanika Tripathi and her group, an Indian artist to perform in the event.

Ms. Kanika Tripathi needs to withdrawal foreign exchange of USD 75,000 for the purpose of visit to UK for performing at cultural event of University of Oxford in UK. Advise whether she can withdraw Foreign Exchange and if so, under what conditions?